



CHILTERN
District Council

Non- Executive Delegated Decisions Made by Officers

Regulation 7 of the Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations 2014 requires a written record to be produced as soon as reasonably practicable after an Officer has made a decision under delegation. This means that in order to comply with these new requirements, Officers discharging delegated powers which would otherwise have been taken by the relevant local government body, or a committee, sub-committee of that body or a joint committee in which that body participates, but it has been delegated to an officer of that body either under a specific express authorisation; or under a general authorisation to officers to a) grant a permission or licence; b) affect the rights of an individual or c) award a contract or incur expenditure which in either case materially affects the Council's financial position must complete the form below.

Please complete the form below and send it to democraticservices@southbucks.gov.uk or democraticservices@chiltern.gov.uk for publication on the Council website in accordance with the Regulations.

1. Name and role of officer: Anna Dell – Principal Solicitor and Steve Bambrick - Director of Services.

2. Date of decision: 19th December 2017

3. Summary of the decision:

That the Council institute prosecution proceedings in pursuance of the authority granted on 18 August 2016 by the Council's Planning Committee in respect of the alleged offences regarding the non-compliance with an Enforcement Notice 2014/00278/AB/ENF01 dated 10th November 2015 in respect of land known as Land at Deep Mill Service Station (Bubbles Car Wash) London Road Little Kingshill Buckinghamshire HP16 0DH contrary to Section 179 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990 as amended.

4. Reasons for the decision:

Having carefully considered a report and recommendations from the Solicitors instructed in this matter officers were satisfied that having regard to the Evidential and Public Interests tests, the Equality Act, the Human Rights Act and the Councils Enforcement Policy the commencement of prosecution proceedings in order to secure compliance with an enforcement notice dated 10th November 2015 was appropriate and proportionate.

5. When making the decision did the officer take into account information from another report?

No

Details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the officer when making the decision:

The other option considered and rejected was not to prosecute for the alleged offences regarding the non-compliance of the Enforcement Notice.

6. (a) Details of any conflict of interests declared by any Member who was consulted regarding the decision:

Not relevant.

Were any interests declared by the Member(s)? **Not applicable**

If yes, who?

Type of interest (1):

Nature of interest (1):

Type of interest (2):

Nature of interest (2):

(b) Note of dispensation: None

Does this notice contain any exempt information?

No

- 1. Identifies individuals (names, addresses, contact information etc.)
- 2. Likely to reveal the identity of an individual
- 3. Financial or business affairs of any person or organisation
- 4. Consultations or negotiations in connection with any labour relations
- 5. Legal professional privilege that could be used in legal proceedings
- 6. Any enactment (prosecution) to a person or organisation
- 7. Any action taken to do with prevention/investigation/prosecution of crime

Date Notice Published: